To: CN=Bruce Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

Cc: []
Bcc: []

From: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Mon 5/16/2011 8:44:45 PM

Subject: Fw: RE: BDCP

Proposed Operations for Dual Conv Alternatives 1 and 2 06 08 10 v3.docx

Proposed Operations for Alternative 3 06 22 10 v3.docx
Proposed Operations for Alternative 4 10 19 10 v7.docx
Proposed Operations for Alternative 5 06 08 10 v5.docx

Image.image001.jpg@01CC13B8.2406D700.plain Image.image002.gif@01CC13B8.2406D700.gif Image.image001.jpg@01CC13B8.2406D700.plain Image.image002.gif@01CC13B8.2406D700.gif

Image.image002.gif@01CC13B8.2406D700.gif Image.image002.gif@01CC13B8.2406D700.gif

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

mailto:Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html http://bdcpweb.com/Files/Highlights_of_the_BDCP_FINAL_03-17-11.pdf pidlof@usbr.gov

fyi

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator, US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers 650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 557 5253, Fax: (916) 557 6877

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

-----Forwarded by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US on 05/16/2011 01:44PM -----

To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael G SPK Nepstad < Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil > From: "Idlof, Patricia S" < PIdlof@usbr.gov >

Date: 05/16/2011 10:59AM

cc: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Carolyn Yale/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Fujii/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

"michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil" <michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil>, "Barajas, Federico"

<FBarajas@usbr.gov> Subject: RE: BDCP

All,

Per your request, attached are the detailed descriptions of the operations modeling for the existing BDCP EIR/EIS Alternatives 1-5.

Patti Idlof

916-992-3566 (c)

pidlof@usbr.gov

Reclamation BCLogo Bluesm

From: Idlof, Patricia S

Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 2:20 PM To: 'Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov'

Cc: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov; Yale.Carolyn@epamail.epa.gov; Fujii.Laura@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: BDCP

That's odd that the attachments we're included because I distinctly remember doing it. Sorry! Anyway, here they are. I'll work on getting the operational descriptions for the existing alternatives to forward on.

Patti Idlof

916-992-3566 (c)

pidlof@usbr.gov

Reclamation BCLogo Bluesm

From: Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 2:09 PM

To: Idlof, Patricia S

Cc: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov; Yale.Carolyn@epamail.epa.gov; Fujii.Laura@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: BDCP

Hi Patti,

Thanks for the conf.call. I didn't notice at the time but when we mentioned this email exchange I went back and checked the messages and discovered the attachments you reference below weren't attached. If you could send those and the descriptions of operations for the five alternatives, it would be very helpful.

Thanks and have a good weekend,

Erin Foresman
Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,
US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers

650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 557 5253, Fax: (916) 557 6877

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

From:

"Idlof, Patricia S" <PIdlof@usbr.gov>

To:

Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc:

Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date:

04/27/2011 04:04 PM

Subject:

RE: BDCP

Erin,

Attached is the description of the BDCP Proposed Project operational criteria (2/11/10 BDCP Handout) noted in the powerpoint. There are internal working draft descriptions of the alternatives that the consultants have developed, but they have not yet been reviewed and commented on by the lead agencies. Likely because no final decision has been made on the alternatives and due to the consultant work stoppage. The 2010 Proposed Project did not include a fall X2 action. As noted in the table, Alt 3 does include fall X2, but I don't recall if Alt 4 or Alt 5 included one or not.

Also attached is a draft non-federal cooperating MOU that was ultimately signed by the Federal lead agencies and Contra Costa County that you requested.

Patti Idlof 916-992-3566 (c) pidlof@usbr.gov

From: Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 1:39 PM

To: Idlof, Patricia S

Cc: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: BDCP

Hi Patti,

Thanks for sending this to us! Is this the only description of range of alternatives that you know of? In the table near the end of the power point, in the operations column, there is mention of a document handed out at the 2-11-10 meeting that discusses the proposed bdcp project with respect to operations. I'm sorry to ask for another thing, but do you have a copy of that or could get a copy of that description?

Was there any development of "fall X2" as an operational criteria?

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator, US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers 650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 557 5253, Fax: (916) 557 6877

http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html

-----"Idlof, Patricia S" <PIdlof@usbr.gov> wrote: -----

To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA From: "Idlof, Patricia S" <PIdlof@usbr.gov>

Date: 04/27/2011 12:00PM

cc: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject: RE: BDCP Karen and Erin,

Attached is the powerpoint presentation from the June 15, 2010 BECT meeting regarding the screening process and range of alternatives. I checked the BECT meeting list and several people from EPA and the Corps were included in the invitation. The EIR/EIS consultant seemed to recall that someone from EPA joined the meeting by phone and was emailed the presentation. In any case, this is it.

Looking forward to our continuing discussions.

Patti Idlof 916-992-3566 (c) pidlof@usbr.gov

From: Idlof, Patricia S

Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2011 1:11 PM To: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov Cc: Foresman.Erin@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: RE: BDCP

Hi Karen,

I agree that our meeting last Friday was very productive and I'm looking forward to having more of them. I too had trouble finding the presentation given at our last BECT meeting (June 15, 2010) regarding the screening process, criteria, and resultant preliminary array of alternatives, so I've asked the consultant to track it down for me. I looked through some of my meeting notes and recalled that a presentation on this was also given at a Steering Committee meeting. A brief description and table of the preliminary array of alternatives is included in the state's BDCP Highlights document on pages 74-76 (see link).

http://bdcpweb.com/Files/Highlights_of_the_BDCP_FINAL_03-17-11.pdf

Yes, I believe that's what I said at last Thursday's meeting. What I was addressing was that the alternatives need to at least partially satisfy the P&N, or they wouldn't be included for analysis. A "reduced export alternative" would be difficult to define (how much reduced? reduced from what?) and wouldn't meet the co-equal goals of the project. That doesn't mean that one or more alternatives would not result in reduced exports (which some actually do).

The results of the screening process will be described in the alternatives development report, an appendix to the EIR/EIS which has not been completed. So I don't have a detailed writeup to forward you at this point.

Hope this helps!

Patti Idlof Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region, MP-152 916-992-3566 (c) pidlof@usbr.gov

From: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov [Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 3:31 PM

To: Idlof, Patricia S Cc: Nawi, David Subject: BDCP Hi Patti -

I heard that Friday's meeting on 404 integration was productive - thanks for organizing it.

I wanted to get clarification on what I think I heard on last Thursday's federal agency call. It was in the context of discussing the State Board's recent letter about the need for a "reduced export" alternative. I think I heard you say that this could be a problem for the federal DEIS as the Screening Criteria had screened out (or would screen out) such an alternative. Did I get that right? You were going to send me the Screening Criteria because somehow I had missed that this document had been completed. Is there also a report documenting the results of applying this criteria? Or was that what you said was done by powerpoint at a BECT meeting?

Thanks Patti! - Karen

KAREN SCHWINN Associate Director Water Division U.S. EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1) San Francisco, CA 94105 415/972-3472 415/297-5509 (mobile) 415/947-3537 (fax) [attachment "Presentation for Range of Alternatives for June 15 2010 BECT v5.pptx" removed by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US]